

**TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF  
NATURAL GAS RESOURCES FROM CAMPANO-  
MAASTRICHTIAN NKPORO SHALE OF ANAMBRA  
BASIN/LOWER BENUE TROUGH**

**IGWILO HUMPHREY OSITA**

**TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF  
NATURAL GAS RESOURCES FROM CAMPANO-  
MAASTRICHTIAN NKPORO SHALE OF ANAMBRA  
BASIN/LOWER BENUE TROUGH**

**BY**

**IGWILO HUMPHREY OSITA**

**UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN**

**DECEMBER, 2016**

**TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF  
NATURAL GAS RESOURCES FROM CAMPANO-MAASTRICHTIAN  
NKPORO SHALE OF ANAMBRA BASIN/LOWER BENUE TROUGH**

BY

IGWILO HUMPHREY OSITA

B.Sc.Petroleum Engineering, M. Sc.Engineering(Petroleum Engineering)(Ruston,Louisiana,USA)

(Matric No.: 167403)

**A Thesis in the Department of Petroleum Engineering, Submitted to the  
Faculty of Technology in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the  
Degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

of the

**UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN**

DECEMBER, 2016

## **CERTIFICATION**

I certify that this work was carried out by Mr. H. O. Igwilo in the Department of Petroleum Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

.....

### **Supervisor**

Prof. S.O. Isehunwa, B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.(Ibadan), Regd Engr

Department of Petroleum Engineering ,

University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

## ABSTRACT

Nigerian gas reserves is currently characterised by high production/reserves ratio due to increasing demand from liquefied natural gas, power generation plants and other industrial users. There has been increasing efforts at identifying new opportunities for conventional and/or non-conventional gas reserves. The Campano-Maastrichtian Nkporo shale is one of the potential sources of non-conventional gas reserves in Nigeria. Despite geological, geophysical and geochemical evaluations that have been carried out and published, there is sparsity of data on the reserves, engineering, and petrophysical parameters required for possible development and production. This study was designed to obtain engineering and petrophysical data, evaluate the resource volumes and producibility of Nkporo shale gas and to formulate appropriate technical and economic strategies for the development and production.

Published geochemical evaluation results were obtained from literature and interpreted. Log suites and other data such as sidewall cores and bottom hole temperatures were obtained from five wells: Alo-1, Anambra River-2, Ogbabu-1, Oda River-1, Akukwa-2; which were earlier drilled, logged and tested. Engineering and Petrophysical evaluations of the well logs were undertaken to obtain geothermal profiles, porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, compressibility and compressive stresses. The initial in-place volumes, fracturability and producibility of the shale gas were estimated using petroleum industry standard procedures. Data were benchmarked with similar shale systems in USA and Australia. Net Present Value (NPV) and Return On Investment (ROI) were determined at different operating and economic conditions.

Nkporo shale has Total Organic Carbon (TOC) ranging from 0.40 to 3.01 wt % with low average Hydrogen Indices revealing kerogen type III and mixed III/II that is predominantly gas prone. Multiple temperature profiles exist within the formation with gradients ranging from 0.0043-0.0366 °C/m (0.0142–0.1200°C). Porosity ranges from 5.0–28.1 % while effective permeability ranges from 0.0–95.5 millidarcy. Water saturation ranges from 0.70–0.99. The original gas in place was established at 2.93 million m<sup>3</sup> per km<sup>2</sup> (268.69 BCF/640-acre well spacing) with the potential to increase to 7.33 million m<sup>3</sup> per km<sup>2</sup> (685 BCF/640-acre well spacing). Vertical and horizontal compressive stresses range from 4.6–5.27 and 2.41–2.77 x 10<sup>7</sup> N/m<sup>2</sup> (6673–7646 and 3498–4008 psia) respectively. Maximum production requires high conductivity linear hydraulic fracturing using 20/40 mesh size fluid, and 305 m (1000 feet) fracture length per section of well spacing. The properties of Nkporo shale compared well with some established shale-gas formations in the USA and Australia. Developing the gas reserves profitably requires dual completions to achieve high well off-takes. For wells drilled and completed at \$10,000/m and at recovery factors between 10-50 % and gas price of \$2.50/MM Scf, NPV varies between 0.0287–0.1420 billion dollars per 640-acre spacing while ROI ranges between 0.43-52 % for interest rates between 10-30 % with development incentive ranging between 1-10% for investors.

There is scope for additional gas reserves from the Campano–Maastrichtian Nkporo shale within the Anambra Basin/Lower Benue Trough. This may apply to other similar shale formations in Nigeria.

**Keywords:** Shale gas development, Petrophysical evaluation, Hydraulic fracturing, Nigerian gas reserves.

**Word count** - 485

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I acknowledge and appreciate my Supervisor, Professor Sunday Isehunwa for his immense support and guidance toward my PhD program. My sincere appreciation also goes to the Head and entire members of staff of Department of Petroleum Engineering for their kind assistance at all times and providing academic support. I also appreciate the Dean, Faculty of Engineering and Staff. I wish to thank the University of Ibadan, especially the Post Graduate School for the opportunity. I appreciate University of Lagos for using their facilities. I also extend my deep appreciation to the Management and Staff of National Petroleum Investment and Management Services(NAPIMS)-subsidiary Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation(NNPC).My Beloved wife,Prof(Mrs) C. I. Igwilo, thanks for your encouragement,assistance and being there for me always. Also, I wish to appreciate our Children Ihuoma, Ugonnaya, Ossy and Emeka whose assistance can never be ignored; thank you. My gratitude also goes to Dr. Henry Ohen, Prof C.M. Ekweozor and Dr S.O. Nwachukwu for their advice.

Above all; I want to give special thanks and all the glory to our Almighty Creator and beloved God who owns and does this Project in Jesus name; Amen.

## **DEDICATION**

To God be the Glory for accepting and supporting me  
throughout this project.



## ABREVIATIONS

Symbol	Description	Unit
TCF	Trillion Cubic Feet	
Gi	Initial Gas in Place	TCF
Ni	Initial Oil in Place	Barrels
D	Depth under Sub-surface ref	FTss
T	Temperature	°F
BHP	Bottom Hole Pressure	Psi
AGV	Associated Gas Volume	TCF
NAGV	Non Associated Gas Volume	TCF
Fm	Formation	
Grp	Group	
SS	Sand Stone	
MFS	Maximum Flood Surface	
SB	Sequence Boundary	
HST	High Stand System Track	
TST	Transgressive System Track	
LST	Low Stand System Track	
MST	Medium Stand System Track	

$K_f$	Permeability of proppant, md	md
$wK_f$	Conductivity of fracture	md-in
$J/J_o$	Productivity index ratio(after/before)	
$r_e$	Drainage radius of well	ft
$r_w$	Well radius,	ft
$C_r$	Dimensionless fracture Conductivity	
$\underline{LR}$	Dimensionless fracture length from wellbore	
$\sigma_h$	Total horizontal Stress	Psi
$\sigma_v$	Total vertical Stress	Psi
$Q_D$	Dimensionless Rate	
$K$	Permeability	md
$T(t)$	time	hrs
$\emptyset$	Porosity	%
$C_t$	Total compressibility	Psi <sup>-1</sup>
$X_f$	Length of one wing of Fracture	ft
$t_D$	Dimensionless time	
$Q$	Cumulative Production	mcf
$X_e$	Distance to drainage boundary	ft
KG6	$1.3597 \cdot 10^{-6}$	

DENS	Layer density from log	gm/cc
KV4	0.000043560	
Sg	gas saturation	%
Sw	water saturation in un-invaded zone	%
Bg	gas formation volume factor	Scf/stb
TAV	Total Assets value	Naira(₦)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT	PAGE
Title Page.....	i
Abstract.....	iii
Dedication.....	v
Acknowledgment.....	vi
Certification.....	vii
Table of Contents .....	viii
List of Tables.....	xii
List of Figures.....	xv
Abbreviations.....	xviii
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Preamble.....	1
1.2 General Background on Shale Gas Formation.....	6
1.3 Aims and Objectives of the Study.....	13
1.4 Significance of the Study.....	13
1.5 Justification of the Study.....	18
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1 Oil/Gas Reserves Situation in Nigeria.....	27
2.2 Reservoir Description/Characterization.....	27
2.2.1 Geophysics/Geology/Geochemistry.....	28
2.2.2 Stratigraphy and Depositional History.....	38
2.2.3 Petrophysics.....	54
2.2.4 Historical Engineering Well Test.....	56
<b>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>58</b>
3.1 Research Design.....	58
3.1.1 Reservoir Description.....	58

3.2 Methodology.....	60
3.2.1 Reservoir Characterization.....	60
3.2.2 Reservoir Modeling.....	61
3.2.3 Drilling and Completions.....	62
3.2.4 Formation Damage.....	62
3.2.5 Data Availability.....	66
3.2.6 Quality of Logs.....	67
3.2.7 Core Data.....	72
3.2.8 Side Wall core Samples.....	72
3.2.9 Produced Formation Water.....	72
3.2.10 Formation Temperature.....	72
3.2.11 Detailed Analysis of Formation Fluid Distribution.....	74
3.2.12 Grain Density.....	74
3.2.13 Fluid Saturation.....	74
3.2.14 Evaluation of Hydrocarbon in-Place.....	74
3.2.15 Production forecasting.....	77
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>79</b>
4.1 Characterization of the Geological/Petrophysical/Engineering Properties of Nkporo Shale. ....	79
4.1.1 Temperature/Depth Profile.....	79
4.1.2 Formation Porosity/Permeability Evaluation .....	89
4.1.3 Effective Porosity/Depth Profile(Alo-1) .....	91
4.1.4 Permeability/Depth Profile(Alo-1) .....	91
4.1.5 Effective Porosity/Depth Profile(Okpo-River) .....	95
4.1.6 Permeability/Depth Profile(Okpo-River) .....	95
4.1.7 Effective Porosity/Depth Profile(Oda-River) .....	95
4.1.8 Permeability/Depth Profile(Oda-River) .....	95

4.1.9 Core Data Analysis.....	105
4.1.10 Fluid Saturation Analysis.....	105
4.1.11 Summary Application of the Crain’s Unconventional Shale Gas Volume in place model as applied for this study.....	110
4.2.1 Summary Analysis of the Original Gas in Place for Nkporo Shale Formation....	117
4.3.1 Simulation of the Production Forecasting of Nkporo Shale Gas.....	117
4.3.2 Analysis of Nkporo formation Hyraulic Fracture mechanics/ design.....	117
4.3.3 Production Forecast Results and Discussion.....	145
4.3.4 Production Forecasting.....	156
4.4.1 Validation of Results.....	157
4.5.1 Economic Strategy/Evaluation.....	160
4.5.2 Economic Analysis.....	168
 <b>CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
5.1 Conclusion.....	169
5.2 Contributions To Knowledge.....	171
5.3 Recommendation For Further Work.....	172
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>185</b>
Appendix 1: Some assumed parameters for the calculation of gas in place for Shale Gas Formation using Crain’s mode,2000.....	185
Appendix 2: Example of the scenario generation of equation for the production prediction(Holditch et al).....	186
Appendix 3: Abbreviations/Cashflow Model Development(Isehunwa et al,2009).....	187

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1.1	Brief Description of resource and reserves for Natural Gas (Mcglade, et al, 2013)-----	19
2.1	Nigeria’s Energy Reserves/Capacity as at December 2005-----	24
2.2	Global Benchmark of Total Organic Carbon versus Resource Potential for the Shale Gas formations.-----	30
2.3	Litho-facies and environment of Deposition-----	43
2.4	Hydrocarbon-indicative wells drilled in the Anambra Basin-----	57
3.1	Log Inventory of the Nkporo Shale Formation Wells-----	66
3.2	Regional Temperature Profile of Nkporo Shale Formation (*Different formation)-----	73
3.3	Properties used for the production forecast using Holditch et al curve.....	78
4.1	Temperature Analysis Result of Nkporo Shale Gas well/Reservoir/Field-----	88
4.2	Boundary Conditions for Anambra Basin/Benue Trough Permeability.....	90
4.3	Petrophysical Analysis/Characterization of Nkporo Shale Formation wells-----	92
4.4	Permeability as a function of Porosity/Facies Type Analysis Result of Nkporo Shale Gas well/Reservoir/Field-----	104
4.5	Petrophysical Determinants(Discriminator cut-off points) for Nkporo Shale Formation Wells(TOC>2.5%)-----	106
4.6	Petrophysical Determinants (Net Reservoir) for Nkporo Shale Formation Wells.....	107
4.7	Petrophysical Determinants (Net Pay) for Nkporo Shale Formation Wells.....	108
4.8	Petrophysical Determinants (Reservoir-pay/gross, HPVH (Pay)) for Nkporo Shale Formation Wells.....	109
4.9	Original Gas in Place of Nkporo Shale Formation (Free and Adsorbed).....	116
4.10	Result analysis of Total vertical and horizontal stress, permeability versus Depth of Nkpro shale formation-----	119

4.11 Summary Analysis Result of Fracture Design Scaling of Nkporo shale formation using 40 acres.....	123
4.12 Summary Analysis Result of Fracture Design Scaling of Nkporo shale formation using 100 acres....	125
4.13 Summary Analysis Result of Fracture Design Scaling of Nkporo shale formation using 200 acres.....	127
4.14 Summary Analysis Result of Fracture Design Scaling of Nkporo shale formation using 6400 acres..	129
4.15 Summary of the Results of Nkporo Shale Formation Permeability Contrast ( $wK_f/K$ ), Productivity index Contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) as a function of Dimensionless Fracture Length ( $LR$ ) = 0.3, Aerial well radius.....	132
4.16 Summary of the Results of Nkporo Shale Formation Permeability Contrast ( $wK_f/K$ ), Productivity index Contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) as a function of Dimensionless Fracture.....	137
4.17 Contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) as a function of Dimensionless Fracture Length ( $LR$ )= 0.4, Aerial well radius ( $X_e$ ) = 2640ft, Fracture Length .....	140
4.18 Summary of the Results of Nkporo Shale Formation Permeability Contrast ( $wK_f/K$ ), Productivity index Contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) as a function of Dimensionless Fracture Length ( $LR$ ) = 0.2, Aerial well radius.....	143
4.19 Summary of the Result of Nkporo Shale Formation Permeability Contrast ( $wK_f/K$ ), Productivity Index Contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) as a function of Dimensionless Fracture.....	144
4.20 Generation of Scenario equation required for the Nkporo gas production prediction.....	145
4.21 Production Prediction (radial) with fracture length of 350 feet.....	146
4.22 Production Prediction (Radial) with fracture length of 500 feet.....	147
4.23 Production Prediction (Radial) with fracture length of 1000 feet.....	148
4.24 Production Prediction (Radial) with fracture length of 1500 feet.....	149
4.25 Production Prediction (Radial) with fracture length of 2601 feet.....	150
4.26 Production Prediction (Radial) with fracture length of approx. zero feet (No fracture).....	151
4.27 Summary Result of Nkporo Shale Composite Simulated Cumulative Production	



(BCF/640 acre well spacing) versus Time.....	152
4.28 Summary Result of Nkporo Shale Composite Simulated Cumulative Production	155
(BCF/640 acre well spacing) versus Time .....	155
4.29 Summary of the original gas in place of Nkporo Shale Gas Formation using Crain;s unconventional model.....	158
4.30 Comparative Analysis of special Gas shale formation cases internationally.....	159
4.31 Gross Assets Value ( GAV) versus Deductions and the Incentives (1%).....	161
4.32 Gross Assets Value ( GAV) versus Deductions and the Incentives (3%).....	162
4.33 Gross Assets Value ( GAV) versus Deductions and the Incentives (5%).....	163
4.34 Gross Assets Value ( GAV) versus Deductions and the Incentives (7%).....	164
4.35 Gross Assets Value ( GAV) versus Deductions and the Incentives (10%).....	165
4.36 Net Present Value (NPV) versus Gas Reserve Recovery.....	166

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Map of Nigeria showing Anambra Basin/Location-----	2
1.2	Global Historical Gas Reserves Growth-----	3
1.3	Nigerian Gas Demand and Projection-----	4
1.4	Petroleum System Map of the Anambra Basin showing pods of active source rocks-----	14
1.5	Global Distribution of Organic Marine Shales by Geologic period-----	15
1.6	Fayetteville Shale, Arkoma Basin, developed by Southern Energy in North central Arkansas--	16
1.7	McKelvey box of resource classification for unconventional gas -----	20
2.1	Genetic Potential for the source rock units of the Ezeaku, Awgu and Nkporo Formations-----	29
2.2	Tectonic Setting of the Anambra and Afikpo Sub-basin from the Albian-Santonian-----	32
2.3	The Ancestral Benue Trough and Subsidence of the Anambra and Afikpo Sub-basin from the Campanian-Maastrichtian-----	33
2.4	Map of Sedimentary Thickness of the Anambra and Neighboring Basins-----	34
2.5	Regional Fault and Top Structure Map of drilled prospects in the Anambra Basin-----	35
2.6	Location map of key correlating wells in the Anambra Basin (HIS) -----	36
2.7	Location Map of some Oil Wells in Anambra Basin-----	37
2.8	Provenance Map of the Anambra Basin after the Santonian Squeeze -----	39
2.9	Depositional Environment of Stratigraphic Units of Anambra Basin-----	40
2.10	Reconstructed Stratigraphic cross-section across the Anambra Basin based on Subsurface Well Logs and Surface Data-----	41
2.11	(Line A-B) Cross-sectional view of the Sedimentary units within the Anambra Basin -----	42
2.12	Source Rock Facies of the Nkporo and Enugu Formations-----	45
2.12	Cont. Source Rock Facies of the Nkporo and Enugu Formations-----	46
2.13	Organic laminated Shale of a Typical USA Shale Gas Field similar to Nkporo (Anambra Basin/Benue Trough) with TOC>2%-----	47
2.14	Lithostratigraphic Units of the Anambra-Basin-----	48
2.15	N-S Sequence Stratigraphic correlation using the key surfaces-----	49
2.16	Sequence Stratigraphic Framework, Afikpo Sub-basin , Anambra Basin-----	50

2.17	Lower Benue Trough Oil Shales Organic Carbon Map-----	51
2.18	Reservoir Facies of the Anambra-Basin-----	52
2.19	Sequence Stratigraphic Model for the Afikpo Sub-Basin-----	53
2.20	Use of Conventional Tripple-Combo logging data to identify potential organic shale deposits-----	55
3.1	Composite Shale montageLog of a Typical USA Shale Gas Field/Reservoir/well similar to Nkporo-----	59
3.2	Composite well Log-plot analysis of Alo-1-----	68
3.3	Composite well Log-plot analysis of Oda-River-1-----	69
3.4	Composite well Log-plot analysis of Anambra-River-2-----	70
3.5	Composite well Log-plot analysis of Ogbabu-1-----	71
4.1	Plot of Temperature versus Depth of Anambra-2 /Nkporo Well-----	81
4.2	Plot of Temperature versus Depth of Oda River-1 /Nkporo Well-----	82
4.3	Plot of Temperature versus Depth of Alo-1 /Nkporo Well-----	83
4.4	Plot of Temperature versus Depth of Akukwa-2 /Nkporo Well-----	86
4.5	Composite Plot of Temperature versus Depth of Nkporo Reservoir-----	87
4.6	Plot of Effective Porosity versus Depth of Alo-1 /Nkporo well-----	93
4.7	Plot of Permeability versus Depth of Alo-1 /Nkporo well-----	94
4.8	Plot of Effective Porosity versus Depth of Okpo-River /Nkporo well-----	96
4.9	Plot of Permeability versus Depth of Okpo-River /Nkporo well-----	97
4.10	Plot of Effective Porosity versus Depth of Oda-River /Nkporo well-----	98
4.11	Plot of Permeability versus Depth of Oda-River /Nkporo well-----	99
4.12	Semi-Log Plot of Permeability versus Porosity of Alo-1 /Nkporo well-----	101
4.13	Semi-Log Plot of Permeability versus Porosity of Okpo River /Nkporo well-----	102
4.14	Semi-Log Plot of Permeability versus Porosity of Oda River /Nkporo well-----	112
4.15	Composite Semi-Log Plot of Permeability versus Effective Porosity of Nkporo-----	113
4.16	Organic laminated Shale of a Typical USA Shale Gas Field similar to Nkporo (Anambra Basin/Benue Trough) with TOC>2%-----	114
4.17	Original Gas in place summary Chart for Nkporo Shale-----	115
4.18	Horizontal Stress of Nkporo shale formation versus Depth -----	120
4.19	Vertical Stress of Nkporo Shale Formation versus Depth-----	121
4.20	Fracture Design Scaling of Wk,/K,J/Jo versus well acre spacing using 40 acre referencing----	124

4.21	Fracture Design Scaling of $Wk_r/K_f J/J_o$ versus well acre spacing using 100 acre referencing----	126
4.22	Fracture Design Scaling of $Wk_r/K_f J/J_o$ versus well acre spacing using 200 acre referencing----	128
4.23	Fracture Design Scaling of $Wk_r/K_f J/J_o$ versus well acre spacing using 640 acre referencing----	130
4.24	Plot of Fracture permeability contrast versus depth of Nkporo Shale formation-----	133
4.25	Plot of fracture productivity index contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) versus formation permeability -----	134
4.26	Plot of fracture productivity index contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) versus Depth of Nkporo shale at $L_R=0.2$ -----	135
4.27	Plot of fracture productivity index contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) versus depth of Nporo shale at $L_R=0.3$ -----	138
4.28	Plot of fracture productivity index contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) versus Formation Permeability of Nkporo Shale at $L_R=0.3$ -----	139
4.29	Plot of fracture productivity index contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) versus depth of Nporo shale at $L_R=0.4$ -----	141
4.30	Plot of fracture productivity index contrast ( $J/J_o$ ) versus Formation Permeability of Nporo Shale at $L_R=0.4$ -----	159
4.31	Plot of Cumulative Production versus Time as a function of dimensionless fracture Length, $L_R$ using radial pattern with single completion-----	161
4.32	Plot of Nkporo shale composite simulated cumulative production versus time as a function of dimensionless fracture length, $L_R$ using linear Pattern vertical fracture/dual completion with 9productivity fold-----	162